



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/060,876	01/2	30/2002	Robert McGowan Mann	31311.104	6936
7:	590	12/20/2004		EXAMINER	
Paul F. Wille 6407 East Clint	on Straat		BOSWELL, CHRISTOPHER J		
Scottsdale, AZ				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				3676	
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	jti
Advisory Action	10/060,876	MANN, ROBERT MO	CGOWAN
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher Boswell	3676	• • •
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess •
THE REPLY FILED 06 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a condition under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated abandment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		• ';
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	-		•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n. ˙
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate of the final Control of the final Contro	opriate extension Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI</li> </ol>	•		
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li></ol>	ecause:		
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	<b>S</b> .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		•
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ required the application in condition for allowance because of the affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because	ecause: See Continuation Sheet.		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			. ,
<ol> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we</li> </ol>			nd an -
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			4.
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			;
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b)  disapproved by t	he Examiner.	<i>j</i> : 3 <b>X</b>
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)( PTO-1449)		1 1
10. Other:	( Dane	el P Stod	ola
		ANIEL P. STODOLA ISORY PATENT EXAMINI	ER

**Advisory Action** 

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner advances that Shibasaki discloses the sealing portion being spaced from the support member by the anchor portion, where the anchor portions act as intermediate elements between the sealing member and the support member (figure 4). The examiner also states that the anchor portions doe extend laterally from a first side of the support member when the sealing lip resides in an inoperative position, as the seal of Shibasaki lies substantially linear when the seal is in an inoperative position (figure 4). Shibasaki also discloses the sealing lip being retained in an operative position by the anchor portion being trapped in face-to-face contact with the first side of the support member, where the seal is arranged in a U-shape manner forcing a face-to-face relationship. Additionally, Shibasaki discloses the hinge connection being isolated from movement by the groove to which the seal resides. Finally, whether an element is called a sealing part or a sealing portion, as long as the element's structure is anticipated, the name of the element is negateable.